

Suitability of cut corms as planting material on flowering, corm and cormel production in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) varieties

Swapnil Bharti, Urfi Fatmi and Devi Singh

Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences and Sciences, Allahabad-211 007

Received September 25, 2018 and Accepted December 28, 2018

ABSTRACT : The present investigation entitled, “Suitability of cut corms as planting material on flowering, corm and cormel production in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) Varieties” was under taken in the Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad during Rabi season (2016-2017). The experiment was layout in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with 12 treatments and each treatment replicated thrice. The treatments consist of different division of corms (Full, Half and one- third) and 4 varieties (Deepest Red, Jessica, Amsterdam and Esta Bonita). Early spike emergence (55.27) was found when full corm was planted for Jessica Variety whereas spike length was maximum (94.00cm) in variety Esta Bonita. Regarding number of florets per spike (14.83), number of daughter corms (65.16) per plant were found to be best in variety Amsterdam when full corms were planted whereas number of cormels (59.57) were found to be maximum in variety Deepest Red.

Key Words: *Gladiolus grandiflorus*, cut corms, florets, daughter corms, cormels production.

Gladiolus is a popular flowering plant grown all over the world, from South Africa to West Asia. The term *gladiolus* was coined by Pliny the Elder (A.D-23-79) deriving from the Latin word “Gladius”, because of its sword-like leaves. It is popularly known as sword lily. The modern hybrids are botanically known as *Gladiolus grandiflorus* belonging to family Iridaceae bearing chromosome number 60. It is also known as “Queen of Bulbous Crops”. Unlike other export oriented cut flower it can be raised under open field conditions and still produces exportable quality spikes. It is easy to grow and is commonly grown for garden use and for cut flower (Aswath and Parathasarathy, 1996). In the international cut-flower trade *gladiolus* occupies fourth place (Bhattacharjee and De, 2010). *Gladiolus* is grown commercially from corms both for the flowering spikes and for corm production and it is principally propagated by natural multiplication of new corms and cormels (Memon *et al.*, 2009; Hartmann *et al.*, 1997; Ziy and Lilien-kipnis, 1990). However, owing to their low rate of multiplication and to a high percentage of spoilage of corms during storage, there is an insufficient supply of planting material (Memon *et al.*, 2012; Singh and Dohare, 1994). In such a case, propagation may be done by cutting the corms into several pieces to increase the number of planting material. The segment of corm to be used as a propagule should have at least one eye and a portion of basal plate or root zone. Better results can be obtained when radial cuts are made. The corms are cut 7 to 10 days before planting. Small corms can be divided into 3 to 4 pieces while the large

one can be divided into 7 to 10 pieces (Gromov, 1972) which helps in providing benefits to the growers in increasing the planting materials.

Materials and Methods

Experimental site and duration: A field experiment entitled was conducted at Horticultural Experimental Field, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, during Rabi season of 2016-17 from November to April.

Experimental design: 4 x 3 (4 varieties x 3 corm sizes) factorial experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design with 3 replications.

Treatments of the experiment: *Gladiolus* variety (V₁: Deepest Red; V₂: Jessica; V₃: Amsterdam; V₄: Esta Bonita) and corm sizes (C₀: whole corm; C₁: Half size corm; C₂: 1/3rd corm were used in the experiment.

Plot size: The size of unit plot was 2 m x 2m.

Procedure for the application of treatments: Few corms were cut into two sections and few into three sections retaining a bud with each section. Bavastin was applied to the segments and whole corm to prevent fungus. Then the segments and whole corms are treated with GA₃ to break dormancy.

Planting of the corms: Corms were planted at 5 cm depth in the plot maintaining 30cm x 20 cm spacing. In each plot, 20 corms were planted.

The different treatment combinations are as follows: T₁(V₁C₀) Deepest Red x Full size corm; T₂(V₁C₁)

Table-1 : Effect of Cut Corms on Floral parameters on different Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) varieties.

Levels of corm size (C)	Days to Spike Emergence Levels of Varieties (V)					Spike Length (cm) Levels of Varieties (V)				
	V ₁	V ₂	V ₃	V ₄	Mean (C)	V ₁	V ₂	V ₃	V ₄	Mean (C)
C ₀	72.33	55.27	78.83	83.27	72.43	71.15	55.74	83.39	94.00	76.07
C ₁	70.50	63.67	81.50	98.33	78.50	68.89	43.05	76.50	90.92	69.84
C ₂	67.93	65.53	84.90	100.43	79.70	65.89	41.91	72.13	86.59	66.63
Mean(V)	70.26	61.49	81.74	94.01		68.64	46.90	77.34	90.50	
Comparison	F test	S.Ed (±)	C.D (5%)			F test	S.Ed (±)	C.D.(5%)		
Due to Varieties	S	0.52	1.08			S	1.48	3.07		
Due to Corm Size	S	0.45	0.94			S	1.28	2.56		
Interaction (V x C)	S	0.90	1.88			S	2.56	5.32		

Table-2 : Effect of Cut Corms on Floral parameters on different Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) varieties.

Levels of corm size (C)	Number of Florets per spike Levels of Varieties (V)					Diameter of basal Floret (mm) Levels of Varieties (V)				
	V ₁	V ₂	V ₃	V ₄	Mean (C)	V ₁	V ₂	V ₃	V ₄	Mean (C)
C ₀	12.27	10.93	14.83	12.63	12.67	88.21	76.73	112.62	125.42	100.75
C ₁	11.83	9.28	14.47	11.93	11.88	82.34	71.64	108.10	122.55	96.16
C ₂	10.87	7.63	13.73	11.20	10.86	78.16	67.74	105.53	119.00	92.61
Mean (V)	11.66	9.28	14.34	11.92		82.90	72.04	108.75	122.32	
Comparison	F test	S.Ed(±)	C.D (5%)			F test	S.Ed(±)	C.D.(5%)		
Due to Varieties	S	0.27	0.58			S	0.71	1.49		
Due to Corm Size	S	0.24	0.50			S	0.62	1.29		
Interaction (V x C)	S	0.48	1.00			S	1.24	2.58		

Deepest Red x Half size corm; T₃(V₁C₂) Deepest Red x One-third corm size; T₄(V₂C₀) Jessica x Full size corm; T₅(V₂C₁) Jessica x Half size corm; T₆(V₂C₂) Jessica x One-third corm size; T₇(V₃C₀) Amsterdam x Full size corm, T₈(V₃C₁); Amsterdam x Half size corm; T₉(V₃C₂) Amsterdam x One-third corm size; T₁₀(V₄C₀) Esta Bonita x Full size corm; T₁₁(V₄C₁) Esta Bonita x Half size corm; T₁₂(V₄C₂) Esat Bonita x One-third corm size

Results and Discussion

Floral Parameters

Number of Days taken for Spike Emergence:

Minimum number of days taken for spike emergence was recorded in V₂ (61.49) followed by V₁ (70.26) whereas maximum was recorded in V₄ (94.01). Variation in number of days taken for spike emergence may

be due to genetical factors and temperature prevailing during the crop growth. Minimum number of days taken for spike emergence was found in C₀ full corm (72.43) followed by C₁ (78.50) whereas maximum was recorded in C₂ (79.70). Interaction effect revealed that minimum number of days taken for spike emergence (55.27) was recorded in T₄ followed by T₁ (72.33) whereas maximum number of days was in T₁₂ (100.43). Large size corm helps the plant for growth and development with supplying storage nutrients in the corm which is the ultimate result of minimum days for emergence of spike. Similar findings were also found by Bhat *et al.* (2009) in gladiolus.

Spike length (cm) : Maximum spike length was recorded in V₄ (90.50cm) followed by V₃ (77.34cm) whereas minimum was recorded in V₂ (46.90cm).

Table-3 : Effect of Cut Corms on yield parameters on different *Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) varieties

Levels of corm size (C)	Weight of daughter corms per plant (g)					Number of cormels per plant				
	Levels of Varieties (V)					Levels of Varieties (V)				
	V ₁	V ₂	V ₃	V ₄	Mean(C)	V ₁	V ₂	V ₃	V ₄	Mean(C)
C ₀	24.77	28.82	52.85	48.43	38.72	59.57	18.07	41.73	33.80	38.29
C ₁	24.43	22.37	43.50	45.90	34.05	39.33	16.50	28.30	28.63	28.19
C ₂	20.93	18.93	40.17	41.20	30.31	36.87	8.63	24.83	20.40	22.68
Mean (V)	23.38	23.37	45.51	45.178		45.26	14.40	31.62	27.61	
Comparison	F test			S.Ed(±)			C.D (5%)			
Due to Varieties	S			0.48			0.98			
Due to Corm Size	S			0.41			0.86			
Interaction (V x C)	S			0.83			1.71			
Comparison	F test			S.Ed(±)			C.D.(5%)			
Due to Varieties	S			0.43			0.89			
Due to Corm Size	S			0.37			0.77			
Interaction (V x C)	S			0.75			1.55			

Maximum number of leaves was found in C₀ (76.07cm) followed by C₁ (69.84cm) whereas minimum from C₂ (66.63cm). Interaction effect revealed that maximum spike length (94.00cm) was recorded in T₁₀ followed by T₇ (83.39cm). The spike length was found to be minimum in T₆ (41.91cm) (Table-1).

This might be due to the higher amount of stored food material from large corm which resulted in larger spike length. Similar results were also reported by Dod *et al.* (1989) and Bhattacharjee (1981).

Number of florets per spike: Maximum number of florets per spike was recorded in V₃ (14.34) followed by V₄ (11.92) whereas minimum was recorded in V₂ (9.28). Maximum number of florets per spike was found in C₀ (12.67) followed by C₁(11.88) whereas minimum was recorded in C₂ (10.86). Interaction effect revealed that maximum number of florets per spike (14.83) was recorded in T₇ followed by T₁₀ (12.63) whereas minimum in T₆ (7.63).

Plant height and spike length had direct influence on number of florets per spike and improvement in spike length and plant height directly increased number of florets per spike. Similar findings were given by Mahesh *et al.* (2011).

Diameter of basal floret (mm): Maximum diameter of basal floret was recorded in V₄ (122.32mm) followed by V₃ (108.75) whereas minimum was recorded in V₂ (72.04mm). The varieties varied significantly with respect to the floret diameter (Ram *et al.*, 2005; Rashmi, 2006). This may be due to different plant height of these varieties. Diameter of florets indicating that with the increment of plant height this associated character could be improved (Kumar *et al.*, 2011).

Maximum diameter of basal floret was found in C₀ full corm (100.75mm) followed by C₁ (96.16mm) whereas minimum was recorded in C₂ (92.61mm). Interaction effect revealed that maximum diameter of basal floret (125.42mm) was recorded in T₁₀ followed by T₇ (112.62mm). The diameter of minimum in T₆ (67.74 mm) (Table-2).

Yield Parameters

Weight of daughter corms (g): Maximum weight of daughter corms was recorded in V₃ (45.51g) followed by V₄ (45.18g) whereas minimum was recorded in V₂ (23.37g). Maximum weight of daughter corms was found in C₀ (38.72g) followed by C₁ (34.05g) whereas minimum was recorded in C₂ (30.31g). Interaction effect revealed that maximum weight of daughter corms (52.85g) was recorded in T₇ followed by T₁₀ (48.43g) whereas minimum in T₆ (18.93).

This may be attributed to the good vegetative growth of plants in initial stages, which provides good amount of photosynthates for storage in corms. It may be mentioned here that when the half corm or whole corm were planted, the innermost corms developed on the terminal bud were larger and heavier than the outer corms which were smaller and lighter, implying that the available food materials were first translocated to the central corms and thereafter to the laterals. Similar results were given by Mahasen *et al.* (2015).

Number of Cormels per plant: Maximum number of cormel formed per plant was recorded in V₁ (45.26) followed by V₃ (31.62) whereas minimum was recorded in V₂ (14.40). Production of number of cormels varied with variety Maximum number of cormel formed per plant was found in C₀ (38.29) followed by

C₁ (28.12) whereas minimum was recorded in C₂ (22.68). The result is in an agreement with the findings of Joshi *et al.* (2012). Interaction effect revealed that maximum number of cormel formed per plant (59.57) was recorded in T₁ followed by T₇ (41.73) whereas minimum in T₆ (8.63) (Table-3).

On the basis of present investigation early spike emergence was found in V₂ (Jessica) whereas spike length was best in V₄ (Esta Bonita) followed by V₃ (Amsterdam). Regarding number of florets per spike, no. of daughter corms per plant was found to be best in V₃ (Amsterdam). Full corms were found to be best in terms of all vegetative and floral parameters but in terms of corms and cormel production cut corms were found to be better. So cut corm can be used for commercial gladiolus production and this might reduce the cost for planting materials.

Acknowledgement

We authors are greatly thankful to other professors and members, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, for their guidance and support during the research trail and also thankful to Department of Soil Science and Department of Agro-meteorology for their information.

References

Aswath, C. and Parathasarathy, V.A., 1996. Evaluation of gladiolus cultivars. *J. Hill Res.*, **9**(1) : 147-149.

Bhat, Z.A.; Paul, T.M. and Mir, M.M., 2009. Effect of corm size and planting geometry on growth, flowering and corm production in gladiolus cv. White Prosperity. *J. Orn. Hort.*, **12**(1) : 35-38.

Bhattacharjee, S.K. and De, L.C., 2010. Gladiolus Advanced Commercial Floriculture. *Rev. Edn. Aavishkar Publ. Jodhpur, India*, **1** : 309-310.

Bhattacharjee, S.K., 1981. Flowering and corm production of gladiolus as influenced by corm size, planting depth and spacing. *Singapore J. Prim. Ind.*, **9**(1) : 18-22.

Dod, V.N.; Sadavarke, K.T.; Kulwal, L.V. and Vaidya, S.W., 1989. Effect of different dates of planting and size of corm on growth, flowering and yield of gladiolus. *Punjab Rao Krishi Vidyapeeth Res. J.*, **13**(12) : 164-

165.

Gromov, A.N., 1972. The world of the gladiolus. *NAGC, USA*, 98-102.

Hartmann, H.T.; Kester, D.E.; Davies, F.T. and Geneve, R.L., 1997. *Plant propagation: principles and practices* (No. Ed.6). Prentice-Hall Inc.

Joshi, K.R.; Gautam, D.M.; Baral, D.R. and Pun, U.K., 2012. Effect of corm size and varieties on corm/cormels production and vase life of gladiolus. *Nepal J. Sci. and Tech.*, **12** : 35-40.

Kumar, J.; Pal, Kumar R. and Krishan, 2011. Variability and character association in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest*, **31**(4) : 30.

Mahasen, M.; Onaa, A.F.; Taufiquea, T.; Mehrajb, H. and Uddina, A.J., 2015. Suitability of cut corm as planting materials on flowering and corm-cormel production of gladiolus cultivars. *J. Bioscience and Agri. Res.*, **4**(01) : 10-19.

Mahesh, C.; Moond, S.K. and Anop Kumari, 2011. Correlation studies in gladiolus. *Research in Plant Biology*, **1**(4) : 68-72.

Memon, N.; Qasim, M.; Jaskani, M.J.; Awan, F.S.; Iqbal, A.; Khan, I.; Sadia, B. and Hussain, Z., 2012. Assessment of soma clonal variation in *in vitro* propagated cormels of gladiolus. *Pak. J. Bot.*, **44**(2): 769-776.

Memon, N.; Qasim, M.; Jaskani, M.J.; Rashid, A. and Iftikhar, A., 2009. Enhancement of corm and cormel production in gladiolus (*Gladiolus* spp.) *New Zealand J. Crop and Horti. Sci.*, **37** : 319-325.

Ram, R.B.; Tomar, K.S. and Datta, S.K., 2005. Performance of certain gladiolus varieties under sodic conditions. *J. Orn. Hort.*, **8**(1): 77-78.

Rashmi, L., 2006. Evaluation of promising hybrids of gladiolus. *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India.

Singh, A.P. and Dohare, S.R., 1994. Maximization of corms and cormel production in Gladiolus. In: Prakash, J. and K. R. Bhandary ed., *Floriculture -Technology, trades and trends*. Oxford & IBH Pub. Co. Pvt. Ltd. India : 205-208.

Ziy, M. and Lilien-Kipnis, H., 1990. Gladiolus. In: Ammirato, P.A., Evans, D.A. Shark, W.R. and Bajaj Y.P.S. ed. *Handbook of plant cell culture*. McGrawhill Publishing Company, New York : 461-478.